



Relationships between high intensity running and outcome of technical-tactical skills in professional soccer players during match play

Journal:	<i>Journal of Sports Sciences</i>
Manuscript ID:	Draft
Manuscript Type:	Original Manuscript
Keywords:	Sprints, Metabolic Power, Skills, Classification Tree, Performance

SCHOLARONE™
Manuscripts

Manuscript Only

Relationships between high intensity running and outcome of technical-tactical skills in professional soccer players during match play

For Peer Review Only

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Abstract

This study aimed to investigate the relationships between high-intensity activities (HIA: speed, acceleration, Metabolic Power) and the outcomes of some technical-tactical skills in professional soccer players (n=610, age 26.8±7.0, height 182.4±5.2 cm, weight 76.7±7.3 Kg) during 50 games of the Italian “SERIE A” season 2013-2014. The analysis was carried out through a semi-automatic match analysis video-system (K-Sport & AMISCO Pro Tracking system, Montelabbate, PU, Italy and Prozone Sport, Leeds, UK). Each technical skill was automatically recognized and the number of events that overcame the threshold values we set as significant for high intensity activities, within 5s before the executions, was considered for this study. Shots on goal showed that the HIA (i.e. the Metabolic Power) might be a discriminant factor in the outcomes (71.1% Success vs 61.5% Not Success, χ^2 test, $p<0.001$). Crossing is clearly influenced by one preceding HIA ($p<0.001$). Other technical skills seem not to be affected by the intensity of the actions preceding the performance ($p>0.05$). This study provides important insights referring to the different physical components occurring before performing a technical skill, highlighting the need of a close cooperation among coaches and physical trainers when assessing a match and designing the training programs.

Keywords: Sprints, Metabolic Power, Skills, Classification Tree, Performance.

Introduction

Performance analysis in football is one of the “new frontiers” that has gained more relevance in the last decade. Many researches have been published to investigate and optimize the performance (Sarmiento et al.2014) from a physical and technical tactical point of view. Coaches are often interested to know performance details in the game and to manage all the parameters of the training sessions in order to adapt them each week to the needs of the upcoming opponent as well as the system and the intensity of the game. The concept of high intensity activities (HIA) in soccer is still an argued issue. If over the years the concept has been frequently approached to the domain of speed (Di Salvo et al., 2007; Di Salvo, Gregson, Atkinson, Tordoff & Drust, 2009; Andrzejewski, Chimura, Pluta, Strzelczyk, & Kasprzak, (2012)), quite recently the concept has been increasingly linked to the acceleration domain. In this context, Dwyer & Gabbett (2012) proposed a different definition of high intensity, closer to the acceleration concept: *“any movement that reaches or exceeds the sprint threshold velocity for at least 1 second and any movement with an acceleration that occurs within the highest 5% of accelerations found in the corresponding velocity range”*. Currently the HIA have been seen more and more as a product of the speed by the acceleration, leading to the new concept of Metabolic Power [Di Prampero (2005), Osgnach et al., (2010)]. They suggested a synthesis of all these parameters and proposed an estimate of the internal load. Accelerative running is similar to running at constant speed on slope. Knowing the Energetic Cost of running on a slope at constant speed made it possible to hypothesize this new parameter [Metabolic Power: $MP = C_{sr} \text{ (Energetic Cost)} * v$ (velocity)].

1
2
3 Applying the Metabolic Power concept to soccer, 43.1% of the actions are placed in a
4 high intensity zone rather than just the 6.3% as the *speed approach* previously reported.
5
6
7
8
9

10 In the last 5 years several papers suggested a strong connection between physical load,
11 especially the HIA, and the technical tactical parameters: Vigne et al. (2013) showed
12 that the elite players reduced their amount of distance performed at submaximal speeds
13 and increased the ball possession, while maintaining the high intensity activities.
14
15
16
17
18

19 Likewise, Lago-Penas, Lago-Ballesteros, Dellal & Gomez (2010) tried to identify some
20 game related statistics that might explain the causes of winning, drawing and losing in
21 the Spanish soccer league. Their results showed that the winning teams had more total
22 shots, shots on goal, effectiveness, assists, off-sides committed and crosses against.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 Deeper is the analysis done by Bradley, Lago-Penas, Rey & Gomez Diaz, (2013), that
30 studied low and high percentage of ball possession, in relation to the physical and
31 technical profiles. They discovered that high intensity with high % ball possession
32 (HPBP) was 31% higher than low % ball possession(LPBP) and 22% lower without ball
33 possession; furthermore, teams with HPBP performed 44% more passes than those in
34 LPBP and the same trend is observed for successful passes, received passes, touches for
35 possession, shots, dribbles and final third entries.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45

46 Recently the focus in soccer has been oriented to gain more insight into the connection
47 between the high intensity activities and the technical tactical parameters and to study
48 all the variables that allow the designing of training sessions, which ecologically
49 reflects the game intensity found in high level competitions.
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The definition of high intensity is often detached from the team sport's real needs.
4
5 Differently to the performance-oriented individual sports, in team sports one must
6
7 consider the effective distances to be covered by each player, which may not require the
8
9 kind of efforts matching the maximal individual capacities, as demonstrated by many
10
11 researches applying the *speed zone approach* (Di Salvo et al., 2007; Di Salvo, Gregson,
12
13 Atkinson, Tordoff & Drust, 2009; Andrzejewski, Chimura, Pluta, Strzelczyk, &
14
15 Kasprzak, 2012).
16
17
18
19

20
21
22 With this study we tried to answer some research questions that might be summarized
23
24 as follows:
25

- 26
27 • Did the high intensity physical activities, (i.e. running or sprinting over a certain
28
29 threshold or reaching a certain metabolic power) performed just before
30
31 executing some technical skill, influence the resulting outcomes (1)?
32
33
- 34 • Considering a time sampling of 5 seconds ($t=5s$) prior to the execution of this
35
36 technical skill, what is the frequency of events that exceed the threshold of high-
37
38 intensity (2)?
39
40
41
42

43
44 The aim of this study was therefore to identify the possible relevance of the high
45
46 intensity activities on the effectiveness of some technical tactical skill, performed
47
48 during soccer matches at elite level. The hypothesis we made was that the final
49
50 outcomes of the technical tactical skills we investigated were influenced by the
51
52 occurrence of High Intensity Activities performed within a 5 seconds time interval prior
53
54 to the execution of the skills.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Methods

Participants

Six hundred and ten elite players (n=610) took part in this study (age 26.8 ± 7.0 , height 1.82 ± 0.5 m, weight 76 ± 7 Kg). Fifty matches (n=50), out of 380, of the Italian premier soccer league – “*Serie A*” - season 2013-2014 were randomly selected for this study. The experimental protocol received approval from the institutional ethics committee.

Research design

In this study, to investigate the relationship between the physical performance (i.e. running), occurring during the game and reaching or exceeding a threshold, we set as indicative of the high intensity events (independent variables: speed, acceleration and metabolic power) just before performing (i.e. within 5 seconds) some technical skill, whose final outcome – achieving or not a positive result – was set as a dependent dichotomy variable. We considered as major technical skills the shots, the crosses, the forward passes, the dribbling, the interceptions and the tackles that we recorded during some of the soccer matches played at elite level.

We applied the thresholds (very high intensity) as by Osgnach et al. (2010) publication:

- Speed: $v > 6 \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
- Acceleration (positive and negative): $a > |3| \text{ m} \cdot \text{s}^{-2}$
- Metabolic Power (MP): $P > 55 \text{ W} \cdot \text{Kg}^{-1}$

The high intensity events, occurring within 5 seconds prior to the execution of the selected skills that reached the above mentioned thresholds were then computed as absolute frequencies. This time interval of 5 s was arbitrary chosen by the authors according to their personal experiences as international soccer coaches. In order to define this time interval, we took into account the average 1300 variations of velocity per game (Stolen et al., 2005), dividing the match total time (5400 s, that is 5400 s/1300 variations is equal to roughly 4.1 s each event). Through an inferential statistical analysis we explored the relationship and the relevance between the technical effectiveness (the outcomes) and the occurrence (or not) of such high intensity activities. The considered technical skills, along with the definitions of successful (S) and not successful (NS) outcome, were defined as follows, as suggested by the Prozone user's manual:

In possession skills:

Forward passes: only passes with forward direction in the offensive half field with significant peripheral density (number of opponents and teammates, game spaces).

1
2
3 Successful when the teammate receives the ball, not successful if ball goes out or is
4 captured by the opponent.
5
6

7
8 **Shots and header shots:** attempts on goal considering successful when they go on
9 target and not successful when the attempt is blocked or the ball goes out.
10
11

12
13 **Crosses:** it is considered that only the translocation of the ball, in the final third, from a
14 lateral area (right or left zones of final third) to the central area: successful if the ball
15 reaches the central part of final third, not successful if the ball goes out or reaches the
16 opposite third field.
17
18
19
20

21
22 **Dribbling:** event in which a player in possession of the ball dribbles an opponent, keep
23 possession and gaining an advantage for the team. Not successful if the attempt to
24 dribble results in the loss of possession.
25
26
27
28

29
30
31
32 *Without possession skills*
33
34
35

36
37 **Interceptions:** when a player stops the transmission of the ball between two opponents,
38 successful when retains possession or the ball goes out, not successful if the ball is back
39 in the possession of the opponents.
40
41
42

43
44 **Tackles:** a duel to regain possession of the ball from an opponent; successful if the
45 recapture occurs, not successful if the ball remains in possession of the opponent
46
47
48

49
50
51 *Instrumentation*
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 All the video data were collected by K-Sport Tracking Semi-Automatic System &
4 AMISCO Pro Tracking System (Prozone Sport, Leeds, UK) and processed by K-Sport
5 on-line (K-Sport, Montelabbate, PU, Italy). The time intervals required to be considered
6 over threshold for each parameter were:
7
8
9

- 10 • 1 second for speed
 - 11 • 0.4 second for acceleration
 - 12 • 0.4 second for metabolic power
- 13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Statistical Analysis**

24
25
26
27 The assumption of normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Data are
28 presented as absolute and relative frequencies (n; %). Parametric and nonparametric
29 statistics were used when appropriate. The Chi square test was performed to find the
30 possible differences in distribution among the selected independent variables, in relation
31 to the possible outcomes.
32
33
34
35
36
37

38
39 In order to verify the hypothesis we made, a binary logistic regression analysis was also
40 performed, to assess whether the four predictor variables significantly explain the
41 different binomial outcomes we observed.
42
43
44

45
46 Odds ratio (OR) values were also computed to quantify how strongly the presence or
47 absence of property A (the high intensity parameters) is associated with the presence or
48 absence of property B (the positive or negative outcomes of these specific technical
49 skills).
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 A Classification Tree Analysis (Stephanie et al., 2003), applying a Chi-squared
4
5 Automatic Interaction Detection (Gordon, 1980) was also performed in order to verify
6
7
8 the influence of the different expression of the independent variables (number of
9
10 events), on the dependent one we considered (positive-negative outcome).

11
12 The corresponding P values are provided for each analysis. The value of statistical
13
14 significance was accepted with $P < 0.05$. IBM SPSS 20. for Windows (SPSS Inc.,
15
16 Chicago, IL) was used to analyze and process the collected data.
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Results

The relevant statistics provided divides the analysis into “in possession skills” and “without possession skills”.

In possession skills

In this section we provide the processed data and the statistics referring to the “in possession skills”, as descriptive statistics (table 1) and chi square test (table 2).

*****Please enter here table 1*****

*****Please enter here table 2*****

Without possession skills

In this section we provide the processed data referring to the “without possession skills”, as descriptive statistics (table 3) and chi square test (table 4).

*****Please enter here table 3 *****

***** Please enter here table 4*****

1
2
3 Furthermore, exploring deeper the relationship between S and NS and their specific
4 significance, we provide the relevant outcomes of the binary logistic regression (table
5
6 5).
7
8
9

10
11 ***** Please enter here table 5*****
12

13
14
15
16
17
18 As an example, we provide the clearest CT analysis, regarding the shots on goal, which
19 shows the positive acceleration behavior before this technical skill.
20
21

22
23 *****Please enter here figure 1*****
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the relationship between the high intensity running and outcome of technical tactical skills in professional soccer players considering a time frame of 5 seconds prior to the execution of those skills.

The hypothesis we made was that the final results of those skills (successful or not) were influenced by the occurrence of high intensity activities (HIA) in this specific time frame. In order to do so, we applied a video match analysis procedure able to detect the relevant parameters (speed, acceleration, Metabolic Power) when exceeding the thresholds set according to specific time intervals.

Main findings

Shots: as we can see in Table 1, the overall results highlighted a distribution, not considering the final result (successful or not successful), that seems to emphasize the low – medium intensity before the skill execution (n=0) for speed (63.2%) and acceleration (positive 51.5% and negative 54.6%). Conversely we found an inverse trend when using the Metabolic Power as the measure: indeed 65.7% of the events showed high intensity MP before the skill with a 51.5% between one and two events.

In Table 2 we observed that the over-threshold events were divided in 615 events (44.1%) that were S and 780 events (55.9%) that were NS. Looking at the high intensity speed, we found that the 58.7% of the successful events occurred without prior high intensity as well as 66.7% of the not successful events; this difference was statistically significant ($p<0.001$).

The binary logistic regression and the classification tree (CT) analysis (Figure 1) showed (CHAID; $p<0.0001$) that the positive acceleration might be important (S=53%)

1
2
3 for the success of the shot with at least one over-threshold event ($a > 3\text{m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$), but not
4 crucial for the success of the skill (NS=44.9%). It is interesting to note as with 3 or
5 more accelerations before the shot, this skill achieved a 100% success ($\chi^2 = 112.023$;
6 $df=2$; $p < 0.0001$), even if this condition is present only for $n=73$ events (i.e. 5.2%, CT
7 node 1, events of positive acceleration > 2). These findings could be in agreement with
8 what was found, in other team sports (Stone & Oliver, 2010). He divulged that using a
9 sport-specific skills task, participants were able to use some self-control strategies to
10 optimize the performances when fatigued and any decline in cognitive performance was
11 minimal. The metabolic power showed a 65.7% of events with at least one previous
12 high intensity event and a significant difference ($p < 0.0001$) between successful events
13 (S=34.0%) and not successful (NS=37.4%). This data could support the Osgnach & Di
14 Prampero's (2010) *Isopower* concept in soccer.

15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32 **Dribbling** is a widely investigated parameter (Deprez et al., 2015; Oner, Votteler,
33 Schmid, Schultz & Roth, 2015; Schaefer, 2014) especially in the talent research field as
34 a key performance indicator (KPI) to assess the technical capacities of the young soccer
35 players. As shown in Table 1, the skill to “dribble” an opponent finds that a majority of
36 the preceding physical events are at low intensity (speed with zero events over
37 threshold=77.4%, positive acceleration=67.9%, negative acceleration=70.1%).
38 Furthermore, a significant difference ($p < 0.001$) emerges by S and NS outcomes in
39 relation to at least one high intensity event before the dribbling for positive (S=61.5%
40 vs 73.2%) and negative (S= 62.2% vs 76.7%) acceleration respectively (table 2). The
41 binary logistic regression and the CT analysis we made confirmed that the dribbling
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 does not require high intensity for the success: the exception was the 31.6% of the
4 events with one previous over-threshold event ($p<0.001$) for negative acceleration.
5
6

7
8 Some authors (Deprez et al., 2015) suggested that the dribbling ability is position
9 specific and it cannot be solely related to the physical capacities; nevertheless young
10 players (U14) with good dribbling abilities are more likely to be selected to play as
11 midfielders and then this ability is considered purely technical. Our findings seem to
12 confirm it.
13
14

15
16 Likewise Huijgen, Elferink-Gemser, Post & Visscher, (2009), published a paper that
17 divulged that dribbling ability is a real important parameter for the talent selection and
18 they found differences between elite and sub-elite: players that achieved an elite level
19 seem to perform faster dribbling than the sub-elite ones; no clear notes are reported to
20 clarify which physical parameters really affect this skill. Our findings might give more
21 information on that, since our investigation aimed at assessing what was happening just
22 before the performance (within 5 s).
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35

36 **Crossing.** The analysis we performed (table 1) suggests that the final outcome is
37 independent from the physical events occurring prior the performance (46.2% with
38 speed=0 vs 53.8% with speed \geq 1, 49.2% with positive acceleration=0 vs 50.8% positive
39 acceleration \geq 1, 42.3% with negative acceleration=0 vs 57.7 with negative
40 acceleration \geq 1). The Metabolic Power is the parameter that clearly gives the most
41 relevant information: a 76.1% of the crosses occurred with at least one Metabolic Power
42 event over the set threshold.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 This trend is confirmed by the S/NS analysis (table 2) where a substantial balance
53 between ne=0 and ne \geq 1 emerges; nevertheless performing one high intensity event
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 before this technical skill is a discriminating factor for the outcome (speed=46.1% vs
4 39.4%, $p<0.001$; positive acceleration= 37.7% vs 31.0%, $p<0.001$; negative
5 acceleration= 41.7 vs 43.7%, $p<0.001$; metabolic power= 41.5% vs 40.4%, $p<0.001$).
6
7
8
9
10 The Binary logistic regression and the CT analysis we conducted emphasized the
11
12 relevance of the HIA Metabolic Power events on the final outcomes prior to the cross
13
14 (CHAID; $p < 0.0001$), especially when the high intensity actions were 2 or 3 within the
15
16 temporal frame we considered ($\chi^2= 25.629$; $df=3$; $p < 0.0001$). Our findings seem to
17
18 strongly support what suggested by Bradley (2013).
19
20
21

22 **Passing.** This skill is the parameter that showed the clearest evidences: a big sample
23
24 was analyzed ($n=15277$) and this skill was performed most of the time (88.5 %, 75.6 %,
25
26 80.4 %, and 73.0 % for speed, positive and negative acceleration and Metabolic Power,
27
28 respectively) without preceding high intensity events, in any form (tables 1 and 2). Even
29
30 the binary logistic regression and the CT analysis we performed, showed how the HIA
31
32 before any pass would not affect consistently the outcome, in agreement to Seif-Barghi,
33
34 Ramin Kordi, Hossein Memari, Al-Mansournia & Jalali-Ghomi, (2012), who studied
35
36 this ability in futsal and in agreement with Vilar, Duarte R, Silva P, Jia Yi Chow-&
37
38 Davids K, (2014) who studied this ability in soccer. They demonstrated, respectively,
39
40 that successful soccer passing might be enhanced by mental imagery or by the visual –
41
42 spatial skills, representing all together *the cognitive side of the game*.
43
44
45
46
47

48 The Interceptions and the Tackles (table 3) we analyzed emphasized that they mostly
49
50 occurred without previous high intensity events. Even considering the success or not
51
52 success of these performances (tables 4), we noted how speed, acceleration (positive or
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 negative) and Metabolic Power have often low occurrences over the considered
4 thresholds.
5
6

7
8 **Interception.** This has been considered as an individual tactical skill and its efficacy on
9 the defensive phase seems to depend on experience the most (Diaz del Campo,
10 Gonzalez Villora, Garcia Lopez & Mitchell,2011).
11
12

13
14 **Tackle.** This is a very complex technical skill and its success may rely greater on the
15 fine timing ability during the whole intervention, more than the expression of pure
16 physical capacities; if the timing is wrong it could be a great risk for the team and for
17 the health of the opponent (Tscholl et al., 2007).
18
19

20
21 Our analysis, the binary logistic regression and the CT analysis, seem to align with the
22 above mentioned considerations, confirming the priority of technique over any other
23 physical dimension.
24
25

26
27 Limitations of the study are relative to the domestic dimension of the games we
28 analyzed, although of a top level (Italian “Serie A”). The authors suggest possible
29 influences of the different competing styles adopted in different countries.
30
31 Furthermore, we considered only the “ball zone” in that instant for each event, not
32 considering what is going on all the entire pitch.
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Conclusions

The main suggestion that this study provides is in relation to how the elite soccer performance should be analyzed. Indeed it seems that it is not completely correct to analyze the physical performance detached from the technical skills that are used to solve the tactical situations and therefore from the game ecological context.

For example, the efficacy of some specific training means, such as the small sided games, seems to be in doubt, especially the one known as *SSG with small side pitch and high density*. The latter emphasizes the sprint ability (positive and negative accelerations and changes of direction, Ruscello et al., 2014; Ruscello et al., 2013) and then the specific energetic cost of these skills, although we have to report the doubts of some recent paper, about the physical load and the connection with the performance in elite soccer, when the SSGs are considered as a part of the specific training program (Bradley, Lago-Penas, Rey & Gomez Diaz, (2013). It highlights that maybe the SSGs do not provide the needed and specific “tactical stimulus” when the technical skills (when in possession the most) are only partially considered.

Our findings, in answer to our first question (1), showed that the shots on goal are strongly influenced by the high intensity Metabolic Power and moderate one for the positive acceleration ($ne \geq 1$) before the impact with the ball. Likewise the crosses success seemed to be independent from the previous high intensity for speed and acceleration (positive and negative), but a strong influence can be noted for the high intensity Metabolic Power. Specifically, in relation to the shot, this study seems to add something: as recently demonstrated by Gaudino, Alberti & Iaia, (2014), the SSG with Goal Keeper, showed a low average intensity, especially for the metabolic power.

1
2
3 According to this latter and considering our findings, we suggest that in the shots on
4 goal training one should take into account the specific demands of this crucial skill
5 (table 2), that in the real game seems to be influenced, up to a certain degree, by the
6 high intensity activities, preceding the performance.
7

8
9
10 Dribbling did not show clear information: it seems that the success could depend on
11 other factors as well as the passes that were not depending from any high intensity form.
12
13 The interceptions and tackles seem to be purely technical events detached from the
14 physical performance.
15
16
17

18
19
20 In relation to the frequencies of events prior to each technical skill (2), it emerges that
21 the shots on goal had more possibilities to have success with one or more high intensity
22 positive acceleration event. Furthermore, with three high intensity activities for positive
23 acceleration we found 100% of the event resulted in a successful outcome.
24
25
26

27
28
29 Crosses showed that one high intensity event (in any form) prior to this skill could be a
30 discriminant factor for the success, as well as two or three high intensity events for the
31 MP.
32
33

34
35
36 Thus the role of the fitness coach should be to also support the tactical planning of the
37 coach. A good management of all the variables (space, time, number of players, etc.)
38 should be provided and monitored using technologic tools (video analysis and GPS).
39

40
41
42 The goal should be to work towards the tactical needs for the next opponent.
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

References

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9 Andrzejewski M., Chimura J., Pluta B., Strzelczyk R., Kasprzak A. (2013).
10
11 Analysis of sprinting activities of professional soccer players, *J. Strength Cond*
12
13 *Res.* 27(8), 2134-2140.
14
15
16 Bradley PS, Lago-Penas C., Rey E., Gomez Diaz A. (2013). The effect of high and
17
18 low percentage ball possession on physical and technical profiles in English
19
20 FA Premier League soccer matches, *J. Sport Sci.* 31(12), 1261-1270.
21
22
23 Deprez D, Fransen J, Boone J, Lenoir M, Philippaerts R, Vaeyens R. (2015).
24
25
26 Characteristics of high level youth soccer players: variation by playing
27
28 position. *J Sports Sci.* 33(3), 243-254.
29
30
31 di Prampero PE, Fusi S., Sepulcri L., Morin J.B., Belli A., Antonutto G. (2005).
32
33
34 Sprint running: a new energetic approach, *J. Experimental Biology.* 208, 2809-
35
36 2816.
37
38
39 Di Salvo V., Baron R., Tschan H., Calderon Montero FJ, Bachl N., Pigozzi F.
40
41 (2007). Performance characteristics according to playing position in Elite
42
43 Soccer, *Int J Sports Med.* 28, 222-227.
44
45
46 Di Salvo V., Gregson W., Atkinson, Tordoff P., Drust B. (2009). Analysis of high
47
48 intensity activities in Premier League Soccer, *Int J Sport Med.* 30, 205-212
49
50
51 Diaz del Campo DG, Gonzalez Villora S, Garcia Lopez LM, Mitchell S. (2011).
52
53
54 Differences in decision-making development between expert and novice
55
56 invasion game players. *Percept Mot Skills.* 112(3), 871-888.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Dwyer DB, Gabbett TJ. (2012). Global positioning system data analysis: Velocity
4 ranges and a new definition of sprinting for field sport athletes, *J. Strength*
5
6 *Cond Res.* 26(3), 818-824.
7
8
9
10 Gaudino P, Alberti G, Iaia FM. (2014). Estimated metabolic and mechanical
11 demands during different small sided games in elite soccer players. *Hum Mov*
12
13 *Sci.* 36, 123-133.
14
15
16
17
18 Gordon Kass V; (1980). An exploratory technique for investigating large quantities
19 of categorical data. *Applied Statistics*; 29,2: 119-127.
20
21
22
23
24 Osgnach C, Poser S, Bernardini R, Rinaldo R, di Prampero PE (2010). Energy cost
25 and Metabolic Power in Elite Soccer: a new match analysis approach. *Med Sci*
26
27 *Sports Exerc*; 42,1:170-178.
28
29
30
31
32
33 H Oner O, Votteler A, Schmid M, Schultz F, Roth K. (2015). Psychometric
34 properties of the motor diagnostic in the German football talent identification
35 and development programme. *J Sports Sci.* 33(2):145-159.
36
37
38
39
40
41 Huijgen BCH. , Elferink-Gemser MT., Post WJ, Visscher C. (2009). Soccer skills
42 development in professionals. *Int J Sports Med.* 30, 585-591.
43
44
45
46 Lago-Penas C., Lago-Ballesteros J., Dellal A., Gomez M. (2010). Game-related
47 statistics that discriminated winning, drawing and losing teams from the
48 Spanish soccer league, *J. Sport Sci & Med.* 9, 288-293.
49
50
51
52
53 Ruscello B, Briotti G, Tozzo N, Partipilo F, Taraborelli M, Zeppetella A, Padulo J,
54
55
56 D'Ottavio S. (2014). Acute effects of two different initial heart rates on testing
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 the Repeated Sprint Ability in young soccer player. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*.
4
5
6 Ruscello, B, Tozzo, N, Briotti, G, Padua, E, Ponzetti, F, and D'Ottavio, S. (2013).
7
8 Influence of the number of trials and the exercise to rest ratio in repeated sprint
9
10 ability, with changes of direction and orientation. *J Strength Cond Res*. 27(7),
11
12 1904–1919.
13
14
15
16
17 Sarmento H, Marcelino U, Hanguera MT, Campanico J, Matos N, Leitaó JC.
18
19 (2014). Match analysis in football: a systematic review. *J Sports Sci*. 32(20):
20
21 1831-1843.
22
23
24
25 Schaefer S. (2014). The ecological approach to cognitive motor dual tasking:
26
27 findings on the effects of the expertise and age. *Front Psychol*. 5:1167.
28
29
30 Seif-Barghi T, Ramin Kordi MD, Hossein Memari A, Al-Mansournia M, Jalali-
31
32 Ghomi M. (2012). The Effect of an Ecological Imagery Program on Soccer
33
34 Performance of Elite Players *Asian Journal of Sports Medicine*, Volume 3
35
36 (Number 2) Pages: 81-89.
37
38
39
40 Stephenie C. Lemon Ph.D., Jason Roy Ph.D., Melissa A. Clark Ph.D., Peter D.
41
42 Friedmann M.D., M.P.H., William Rakowski Ph.D. (2003). Classification and
43
44 regression tree analysis in public health: Methodological review and
45
46 comparison with logistic regression. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine* Volume
47
48 26, Issue 3, pp 172-181
49
50
51
52
53
54 Stone KJ, Oliver JL. (2009). The effect of 45 minutes of soccer-specific exercise on
55
56 the performance of soccer skills. *Int J Sport Physiol Perf*. 4, 163-175.
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Tscholl P, O’Riordan D, Fuller CW, Dvorak J, Junge A. (2007). Tackle mechanisms
4
5
6 and match characteristics in women’s elite football tournaments. J Sports
7
8
9 Med. 41 (Suppl I), i15-i19.

10
11 Vigne G., Dellal A., Gaudino C., Chamari K., Rogowski I., Alloatti G., Del Wong
12
13 P., Owen A., Hautier C. (2013). Physical outcome in a successful Italian
14
15 serie A soccer team over three consecutive season, J Strength Cond Res.
16
17 27(5), 1400-1406.

18
19
20
21 Vilar L, Duarte R, Silva P, Jia Yi Chow, Davids K. (2014). The influence of pitch
22
23 dimensions on performance during small-sided and conditioned soccer
24
25
26
27 games. J Sports Sci. Vol. 32, No. 19, 1751–1759.
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1 - In possession skills: Shots on goal (n=1395); dribbles (n=840); crosses (n=1429); forward passes (n=15277); high intensity events (%) recorded within the 5s time sampling considered, prior to the performance.

skill	n	Speed (%)	Positive Acc. (%)	Negative Acc. (%)	Metabolic Power (%)
Shots on goal	0	63.2	51.5	54.6	34.3
	1	28.0	32.0	33.3	35.9
	2	7.1	11.2	9.3	15.6
	3	1.1	3.9	1.6	10.0
	4	0.5	1.3	1.2	3.4
	5	0.2	0.1	-	0.2
	6	-	-	-	0.6
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
dribbles	0	77.4	67.9	70.1	51.9
	1	19.0	27.4	26.8	32.1
	2	3.6	4.8	3.1	13.8
	3	-	-	-	2.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
crosses	0	46.2	49.2	42.3	23.9
	1	44.4	36.0	42.2	41.2
	2	7.6	11.3	13.6	17.6
	3	1.8	2.4	1.8	11.3
	4	-	1.0	0.1	4.9
	5	-	0.1	-	1.1
	6	-	-	-	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Forward passes	0	88.5	75.6	80.4	73.0
	1	10.5	22.6	17.1	20.9
	2	0.9	1.8	2.4	5.2
	3	0.1	-	0.1	0.8
	4	-	-	-	0.1
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Speed threshold: $v \geq 6.66 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$; Positive Acceleration threshold: $a \geq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$; Negative Deceleration threshold: $d \leq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$; Metabolic Power threshold: $MP \geq 55 \text{ W}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

Table 1 – In possession skills: Shots on goal (n=1395); dribbles (n=840); crosses (n=1429); forward passes (n=15277); high intensities events (%) recorded within the 5s time sampling considered, prior to the performance, according to the final outcome: S = successful; NS = not successful.

skill	n	Speed (%)				Positive Acceleration (%)				Negative Acceleration (%)				Metabolic Power (%)			
		S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ^2)	S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ^2)	S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ^2)	S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ^2)
Shots on goal (S=615;NS=780)	0	58.7	66.7	8.0	0.00	47.0	55.1	8.1	0.00	45.7	61.5	15.8	0.00	28.9	38.5	9.6	0.00
	1	30.9	25.6	5.3	0.61	27.2	35.9	8.7	0.00	39.8	28.2	11.6	0.25	34.0	37.4	3.4	0.00
	2	8.0	6.4	1.6	0.92	14.0	9.0	5.0	0.20	9.3	9.4	0.1	0.16	18.2	13.6	4.6	0.68
	3	0.8	1.3	0.5	0.20	8.8	-	8.8	-	2.4	0.9	1.5	0.09	11.9	8.5	3.4	0.55
	4	1.1	-	1.1	-	2.9	-	2.9	-	2.8	-	2.8	-	5.0	2.1	2.9	0.03
	5	0.5	-	0.5	-	0.2	-	0.2	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.5	-
	Total	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-
Dribbles (S=450;NS=390)	0	84.6	71.1	7.5	0.69	61.5	73.2	11.7	0.00	62.2	76.7	14.5	0.00	53.3	50.7	2.6	0.34
	1	15.4	22.2	6.8	0.00	33.3	22.2	11.1	0.05	33.3	21.1	12.2	0.02	26.9	36.7	9.8	0.00
	2	-	6.7	6.7	-	5.1	4.4	0.7	1.00	4.1	2.2	1.9	0.24	16.9	11.1	5.8	0.14
	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2.8	1.6	1.2	0.35
	Total	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-
Crosses (S=1058;NS=371)	0	44.1	52.0	7.9	0.00	48.5	51.2	2.7	0.00	40.4	48.0	7.6	0.00	22.4	28.0	5.6	0.00
	1	46.1	39.4	6.7	0.00	37.7	31.0	6.7	0.00	41.7	43.7	2.0	0.00	41.5	40.4	1.1	0.00
	2	7.6	7.8	0.2	0.00	10.3	14.0	3.7	0.00	16.9	4.0	12.9	0.00	16.3	21.3	5.0	0.00
	3	2.2	0.8	1.4	0.00	2.9	1.1	1.8	0.00	0.9	4.3	3.4	0.24	13.4	5.1	8.3	0.00
	4	-	-	-	-	0.5	2.7	2.2	0.20	0.1	-	0.1	-	5.0	4.6	0.4	0.00
	5	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	1.3	0.5	0.8	0.00
	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	-	-
Total	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	
Forward Passes (S=9958;NS=5319) MP,NS=5306	0	89.3	87.1	2.2	0.00	77.3	72.5	4.8	0.00	82.7	76.0	6.7	0.00	73.8	71.6	2.2	0.00
	1	9.9	11.4	1.5	0.00	20.7	26.1	5.4	0.00	14.7	21.7	7.0	0.00	20.0	22.5	2.5	0.00
	2	0.6	1.4	0.8	0.27	2.0	1.4	0.6	0.00	2.5	2.3	0.2	0.00	5.4	5.0	0.4	0.00
	3	0.1	-	0.1	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	-	0.1	-	0.8	0.8	0.0	0.00
	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.1	0.1	0.0	1.00
	Total	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-

Table 1 – Without possession skills: interception (n=4350); tackles (n=2491): high intensities events (%) recorded within the 5s time sampling considered, prior to the performance.

skill	n	Speed (%)	Positive Acc. (%)	Negative Acc. (%)	Metabolic Power (%)
Interceptions	0	86.9	75.8	76.1	71.7
	1	11.7	20.9	20.4	21.9
	2	1.4	3.1	3.3	5.9
	3	-	0.2	0.2	0.5
	4	-	-	-	0.2
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Tackles	0	89.6	69.9	77.7	65.5
	1	10.4	27.5	20.2	30.1
	2	0.0	2.6	2.1	4.0
	3	-	-	-	0.4
	Total		100.0	100.0	100.0

Speed threshold: $v \geq 6.66 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$; Positive Acceleration threshold: $a \geq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$; Negative Deceleration threshold: $d \leq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$;
Metabolic Power threshold: $MP \geq 55 \text{ W}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49


Table 1 – Without possession skills: Interception (n=4350); Tackles (n=2430): high intensities events (%) recorded within the 5s time sampling considered, prior to the performance, according to the outcome: S= successful; NS= not successful

skill	n	Speed (%)				Positive Acceleration (%)				Negative Acceleration (%)				Metabolic Power (%)			
		S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ ²)	S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ ²)	S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ ²)	S	NS	Δ S-NS	P* (χ ²)
Interceptions (S=1460;NS=2890)	0	85.6	87.5	1.9	0.00	78.6	74.4	4.2	0.00	76.2	76.0	0.2	0.00	76.5	69.2	7.3	0.00
	1	13.7	10.7	3.0	0.00	18.6	22.1	3.5	0.00	20.2	20.5	0.3	0.00	19.4	23.1	3.7	0.00
	2	0.7	1.7	1.0	0.00	2.7	3.3	0.6	0.00	3.6	3.2	0.4	0.00	3.5	7.1	3.6	0.00
	3	-	-	-	-	-	0.2	0.2	-	-	0.3	0.3	-	0.1	0.6	0.5	-
	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.5	-	0.5	-
	total	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-
Tackles (S=860;NS=1630)	0	88.4	90.2	1.8	0.00	69.8	69.9	0.1	0.00	79.7	76.7	3.0	0.00	66.3	64.1	2.2	0.00
	1	11.5	9.8	1.7	0.00	26.3	28.2	1.9	0.00	20.1	20.2	0.1	0.00	27.6	34.8	7.2	0.00
	2	0.1	-	0.1	-	4.0	1.8	2.2	0.62	0.2	3.1	2.9	0.00	5.5	1.2	4.3	0.00
	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0.6	-	0.6	-
	total	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-	100.0	100.0	-	-

Table 1 – Logistic Binary Regression Analysis: this summary table shows the results obtained by relating the number of the physical events performed at high intensity, in the 5 seconds preceding the execution of the considered techniques (independent variables) and their final outcome (dependent variable).

Variables (ne)	β	<i>SE</i>	<i>Odds ratio</i>	<i>P</i>
Speed	-	-	-	-
Positive Acceleration (Shots on goal)	0,40	0,08	1,49	0,00
Negative Acceleration (Dribbling)	0,92	0,17	2,50	0,00
Metabolic Power (Crosses)	0,209	0,076	1,232	0,006

Speed threshold: $v \geq 6.66 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$; Positive Acceleration threshold: $a \geq 3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$; Negative Deceleration threshold: $d \leq -3 \text{ m}\cdot\text{s}^{-2}$; Metabolic Power threshold: $MP \geq 55 \text{ W}\cdot\text{Kg}^{-1}$



Shots on goal: classification tree analysis
165x133mm (300 x 300 DPI)